## Faculty Work Life Survey Results 2013-2015

This document includes all questions asked on the Faculty Work Life Survey for 2013, 2014, and 2015. There was little change in the questions asked over that time. Only two questions were added beginning in 2014. For each year, the first column is the frequency of responses in a given category and the second column is the percentage of responses in a given category. When examining this document be sure to note the number of people who answered each question (the total frequency) as there are a number of questions throughout where significantly fewer people chose to answer the question (perhaps because it did not apply to them, because they had no basis for judgement, or for some other reason).

	$C_1$		CD	, • • ·		
	Charac	teristics	of Par	ticipants	S	
Response Rate						
_	2	2013	20	014	2	015
Total Faculty	1	1,251		199	1,	185
Total Respondents		407	5	507	4	127
Response Rate	3	2.5%	42	2.3%	3	6%
Gender						
		2013 2014		014	2	015
Male	172	42.36%	225	44.38%	186	43.97%
Female	234	57.64%	282	55.62%	237	56.03%
Total	406	100%	507	100%	423	100%
<b>Tenure-Track Position</b>	on					
		2013		2014		015
Yes	268	66.34%	346	68.65%	313	73.30%
No	136	33.66%	158	31.35%	114	26.70%
Total	404	100%	504	100%	427	100%
Your Rank						
	-	2013	20	014	2	015
Part-time Instructor	59	14.50%	67	13.24%	53	12.44%
Full-time Instructor	75	18.43%	85	16.80%	54	12.68%
Assistant Professor	88	21.62%	127	25.10%	114	26.76%
Associate Professor	115	28.26%	140	27.67%	122	28.64%
Professor	70	17.20%	84	17.19%	83	19.48%
Total	407	100%	506	100%	426	100%
Your Affiliation						
		2013	20	014	2	015
College of Education and Behavioral Sciences	58	14.43%	61	12.15%	52	12.24%
College of Health and Human Services	84	20.90%	107	21.31%	72	16.94%
Gordon Ford College	21	5.22%	34	6.77%	25	5.88%

of Business									
Ogden College of									
Science and	79	19.65%	100	19.92%	101	23.76%			
Engineering									
Potter College of Arts	107	26.62%	137	27.29%	124	29.18%			
and Letters				21.2770	127	27.1070			
University College	45	11.19%	48	9.56%	40	9.41%			
University Libraries	8	1.99%	15	2.99%	11	2.59%			
Total	402	100%	502	100%	425	100%			
Your responsibilities are	primarily								
	2	013	2	014	20	015			
Teaching/Research	356	88.12%	455	89.92%	381	89.44%			
Administration	32	7.92%	35	6.92%	35	8.22%			
Other	16	3.96%	16	3.16%	10	2.35%			
Total	404	100%	506	100%	426	100%			
Trend	s in F	aculty $\Delta$	ttitude	es 2013_	2015				
Trends in Faculty Attitudes 2013-2015									
Please rate your level of satisfaction with the following support services at WKU using the									
scale below.		T.11 D							
	2	Library R		014	2	015			
77 C (' C' 1		013		014		015			
Very Satisfied	79	21.29%	115	24.63%	101	25.63%			
Satisfied	172	46.36	219	46.90	174	44.16			
Neutral	62	16.71	81	17.34	67	17.01			
Dissatisfied	37	9.97	40	8.57	41	10.41			
Very Dissatisfied	21	5.66	12	2.57	11	2.79			
Total	371	100%	467	100%	394	100%			
		ty of Universi			2	0.1.7			
		013		014		015			
Very Satisfied	3	2.73%	4	3.01%	7	5.60%			
Satisfied	12	10.91	16	12.03	18	14.40			
Neutral	52	47.27	61	45.86	49	39.20			
Dissatisfied	22	20.00	27	20.30	30	24.00			
Very Dissatisfied	21	19.09	25	18.80	21	16.80			
Total	110	100%	133	100%	125	100%			
		ernity/matern							
		013		014		015			
Very Satisfied	7	5.69%	13	8.23%	13	7.60%			
Satisfied	25	20.33	32	20.25	28	16.37			
Neutral	52	42.28	69	43.67	70	40.94			
Dissatisfied	20	16.26	26	16.46	35	20.47			
Very Dissatisfied	19	15.45	18	11.39	25	14.62			
Total	123	100%	158	100%	171	100%			

		<b>lge of paterni</b> 013		014		015
Very Satisfied	21	13.73%	18	9.14%	31	13.66%
Satisfied	44	28.76	49	24.87	71	31.28
Neutral	63	41.18	80	40.61	91	40.09
Dissatisfied	14	9.15	26	13.20	21	9.25
Very Dissatisfied	11	7.19	24	12.18	13	5.73
Total	153	100%	197	100%	227	100%
		Information	technology	y		
	2	013	2	014	2	015
Very Satisfied	124	31.88	156	31.97%	125	30.059
Satisfied	193	49.61	245	50.20	209	50.24
Neutral	49	12.60	56	11.48	49	11.78
Dissatisfied	16	4.11	25	5.12	24	5.77
Very Dissatisfied	7	1.80	6	1.23	9	2.16
Total	389	100%	488	100%	416	100%
	Park	ing and trans	portation s	ervices		
	2	013	2	014	2015	
Very Satisfied	36	9.57%	45	9.47%	31	7.85%
Satisfied	142	37.77	163	34.32	148	37.47
Neutral	76	20.21	122	25.68	83	21.01
Dissatisfied	73	19.41	97	20.42	87	22.03
Very Dissatisfied	49	13.03	48	10.11	46	11.65
Total	376	100%	475	100%	395	100%
		WKU Stor	e services			
	2	013	2	014	2	015
Very Satisfied	42	12.14%	58	13.49%	41	11.149
Satisfied	150	43.35	187	43.49	139	37.77
Neutral	105	30.35	107	24.88	105	28.53
Dissatisfied	26	7.51	47	10.93	43	11.68
Very Dissatisfied	23	6.65	31	7.21	40	10.87
Total	346	100%	430	100%	368	100%
		Campus foo	od services			
	2	013	2	014	2	015
Very Satisfied	26	7.56%	31	7.54%	38	10.76%
Satisfied	129	37.50	169	41.12	154	43.63
Neutral	88	25.58	121	29.44	87	24.65
Dissatisfied	64	18.60	64	15.57	54	15.30
Very Dissatisfied	37	7.56	26	6.33	20	5.67
Total	344	100%	411	100%	353	100%

Please rate your sense oppinion, faculty morale		aculty morale	at WKU u	sing the scale	below. In	my
opinion, faculty morale		013	20	014	20	015
Very Good	8	2.29%	19	4.40%	9	2.45%
Good	159	45.56	177	40.97	148	40.22
Poor	121	34.67	165	38.19	147	39.95
Very Poor	61	17.48	71	16.44	64	17.39
Total	349	100%	432	100%	368	100%
The statements below a		culty workloa	d and wor			
of agreement with each		g load is reaso	nahla and	managaahla		
1		g load is reaso 013		014	20	015
Strongly Agree	44	12.61%	73	15.43%	59	14.57%
Agree	172	49.28	237	50.11	227	56.05
Neutral	66	18.91	59	12.47	43	10.62
Disagree	40	11.46	81	17.12	58	14.32
Strongly Disagree	27	7.74	23	4.86	18	4.44
Total	349	100%	473	100%	405	100%
					103	10070
	My service load is reasonable and manageable.  2013 2014 2015					
Strongly Agree	44	12.61%	39	8.90%	45	11.60%
Agree	172	49.28	257	58.68	205	52.84
Neutral	66	18.91	58	13.24	61	15.72
Disagree	40	11.46	51	11.64	54	13.92
Strongly Disagree	27	7.74	33	7.53	23	5.93
Total	349	100%	438	100%	388	100%
I	My researc	h load is reasc	nable and	manageable.		
	2	013	20	014	20	015
Strongly Agree	28	9.15%	38	10.11%	33	9.73%
Agree	140	45.75	178	47.34	155	45.72
Neutral	73	23.86	81	21.54	85	25.07
Disagree	44	14.38	62	16.49	45	13.27
Strongly Disagree	21	6.86	17	4.52	21	6.19
Total	306	100%	376	100%	339	100%
	N	Iy workload is	s satisfacto	ory.		
	2	013	20	014	20	015
Strongly Agree	41	10.73%	49	10.27%	48	11.82%
Agree	174	45.55	218	45.70	178	43.84
Neutral	58	15.18	78	16.35	68	16.75
Disagree	67	17.54	95	19.92	75	18.47
Strongly Disagree	42	10.99	37	7.76	37	9.11
Total	382	100%	477	100%	406	100%

I understand				is determined				
		013	20	014	20	015		
Strongly Agree	47	13.63%	66	14.29%	55	13.89%		
Agree	164	44.09	215	46.54	184	46.46		
Neutral	53	14.25	54	11.69	54	13.64		
Disagree	65	17.47	71	15.37	57	14.39		
Strongly Disagree	43	11.56	56	12.12	46	11.62		
Total	372	100%	462	100%	396	100%		
Universit	ty procedui	res for establis	shing work	load are appr	opriate.			
	20	013	20	014	20	015		
Strongly Agree	20	5.87%	26	6.30%	30	8.50%		
Agree	90	26.39	136	32.93	108	30.59		
Neutral	91	26.69	98	23.73	82	23.23		
Disagree	81	23.75	95	23.00	89	25.21		
Strongly Disagree	59	17.30	58	14.04	44	12.46		
Total	341	100%	413	100%	353	100%		
The statements below a	re about te	nure, promot	ion and me	erit increases.	Rate your	level of		
agreement with each st	atement.	· -			•			
I have a clear unde	erstanding	of what I need	l to accomp	olish for tenui	e and proi	notion.		
	20	013	20	014	2015			
Strongly Agree	38	12.93%	79	20.68%	69	20.53%		
Agree	126	42.86	170	44.50	146	42.82		
Neutral	46	15.65	45	11.78	47	13.78		
Disagree	50	17.01	63	16.49	50	14.66		
Strongly Disagree	34	11.56	25	6.54	29	8.50		
Total	294	100%	382	100%	341	100%		
Tenur	e and prom	otion procedu	ıres at WK	U are transpa	arent.			
	20	013	20	014	20	015		
Strongly Agree	21	6.69%	47	12.08%	47	13.43%		
Agree	96	30.57	138	35.48	102	29.14		
Neutral	70	22.29	70	17.99	70	20.00		
Disagree	82	26.11	93	23.91	87	24.86		
Strongly Disagree	45	14.33	41	10.54	44	12.57		
Total	314	100%	389	100%	350	100%		
I am awa	are of the c	riteria for me	rit increase	es in my depa	rtment.			
		013	2014 201:			015		
Strongly Agree	19	5.81%	23	5.93%	19	5.48%		
Agree	57	17.43	75	19.33	44	12.68		
Neutral	43	13.15	45	11.60	37	10.66		
Disagree	90	27.52	105	27.06	111	31.99		
Strongly Disagree	118	36.09	140	36.08	136	39.19		
~ 3. 3		20.07	- 10	20.00				

	I am satisf	fied with the r	nerit incre	ase process.		
		013		)14	20	)15
Strongly Agree	11	3.64%	13	3.63%	9	2.87%
Agree	33	10.93	44	12.29	19	5.73
Neutral	46	15.23	50	13.97	49	15.61
Disagree	68	22.52	78	21.79	81	25.80
Strongly Disagree	144	47.68	173	48.32	157	50.00
Total	302	100%	358	100%	314	100%
The statements below a	re about in	structor and	course eval	uations (Sites	s), service,	rank and
overall job satisfaction.						
The current form of in	structor an	d course eval	uation (SI	ΓEs) provides	effective in	nformation
	al	bout teaching	effectivene	ess.		
	20	013	20	)14	20	)15
Strongly Agree	6	1.62%	17	3.76%	8	2.03%
Agree	76	20.54	89	19.69	79	20.00
Neutral	72	19.46	71	15.71	62	15.70
Disagree	105	28.38	129	28.54	121	30.63
Strongly Disagree	111	30.00	146	32.30	125	31.65
Total	370	100%	452	100%	395	100%
I receive	appropria	te and constr	uctive feed	back about te	eaching.	
	20	013	20	)14	20	)15
Strongly Agree	13	3.50%	25	5.46%	17	4.28%
Agree	110	29.65	144	31.44	120	30.23
Neutral	99	26.68	105	22.93	111	27.96
Disagree	95	25.61	109	23.80	94	23.68
Strongly Disagree	54	14.56	75	16.38	55	13.85
Total	371	100%	458	100%	397	100%
I am afforded an appr	opriate deg	gree of autono	my with re	gard to my a	reas of resp	onsibility.
	20	013	20	)14	20	)15
Strongly Agree	115	30.50%	139	29.70%	119	29.46%
Agree	187	49.60	247	52.78	217	53.71
Neutral	43	11.41	41	8.76	26	6.44
Disagree	20	5.31	24	5.13	28	6.93
Strongly Disagree	12	3.18	17	3.63	14	3.47
Total	377	100%	468	100%	404	100%
Relativ	e to years o	f service and	rank my sa	alary is satisfa	actory.	
	20	013	20	)14	20	)15
Strongly Agree			16	3.45%	9	2.23%
Agree			87	18.75	63	15.59
Neutral			61	13.15	52	12.87
Disagree			129	27.80	107	26.49
Strongly Disagree			171	36.85	173	42.82
Total			464	100%	404	100%

		Overa		ave nig	յո յսս			•				
			13				14				15	
Strongly Agree	3	5	9.1	9%	4	.7	10.0	)0%	3	5	8.6	8%
Agree	1.	33	34	.91	10	57	35	.53	13	31	32	.51
Neutral	8	5	22	.31	10	)3	21	.91	8	3	20	.60
Disagree	8	8	23	.10	8	9	18	.94	9	9	24	.57
Strongly Disagree	4	.0	10	.50	6	4	13	.62	5	5	13	.65
Total	38	81	10	0%	4	70	10	0%	4(	03	10	0%
On average, how many	credi	t hour	s do y	ou tea	ch per	year?						
		20	13			20	14			20	15	
	N	Mean	SD	Range	N	Mean	SD	Range	N	Mean	SD	Range
Fall Term	382	9.04	4.20	0-24	474	8.76	4.13	0-21	405	8.35	3.91	0-15
Spring Term	383	8.69	4.07	0-24	474	8.49	4.08	0-21	405	8.11	3.86	0-18
Summer Term	383	2.25	3.23	0-24	473	2.28	2.85	0-12	404	2.20	2.83	0-12
January Term	382	0.69	1.78	0-24	473	.65	1.33	0-12	405	0.65	1.24	0-6
Per week, about how m	any h	ours d	lo you	devot	e to te	aching	g, inclu	ıding	prepai	ration	, gradi	ng
and office hours?			·						_			
		20	13			20	14			20	15	
	N	Mean	SD	Range	N	Mean	SD	Range	N	Mean	SD	Range
Teaching	377	9.83	6.04	0- 55.5	463	9.82	5.87	0-40	404	8.99	4.80	0-26
Teaching Preparation	378	9.76	7.01	0-45	463	10.0	7.43	0-55	404	9.60	6.45	0-36
Grading					464	6.00	4.91	0-25	404	6.15	5.15	0-36
Office Hours	378	7.47	6.89	0-60	463	7.20	6.79	0-50	404	6.78	6.15	0-42
Per week, about how m	any h	ours d	lo you	devot	e to se	rvice (	depar	tment	al, col	lege, u	nivers	sity,
or other)?												
			13				14			20	15	
	N	Mean	SD	Range	N	Mean	SD	Range	N	Mean	SD	Range
- 10 1	371	4.31	6.46	0-50	462	4.60	6.48	0-45	402	4.04	5.07	0-33
Departmental Service	5/1	1.51						0.00	402	1.55	2.35	0-20
Departmental Service  College Service	370	1.41	2.53	0-30	460	1.49	2.44	0-20	402	1.55	2.33	0-20
				0-30 0-40	460 461	1.49 1.45	3.00	0-20 0- 37.5	402	1.91	3.92	
College Service	370	1.41	2.53					0-				0-60
College Service University Service	370 371 369	1.41 1.59 2.33	2.53 4.26 4.10	0-40	461 461	1.45 2.44	3.00 4.59	0- 37.5 0- 37.5	401 401	1.91 2.58	3.92 4.65	0-60
College Service University Service Other Service	370 371 369	1.41 1.59 2.33	2.53 4.26 4.10	0-40	461 461	1.45 2.44 <b>esearch</b>	3.00 4.59	0- 37.5 0- 37.5	401 401	1.91 2.58 <b>tive ac</b>	3.92 4.65	0-60
College Service University Service Other Service	370 371 369	1.41 1.59 2.33	2.53 4.26 4.10 <b>lo you</b>	0-40	461 461	1.45 2.44 <b>esearch</b>	3.00 4.59 n/scho	0- 37.5 0- 37.5	401 401	1.91 2.58 <b>tive ac</b>	3.92 4.65	0-60

	The Uni	versity foster	s shared go	vernance.			
		013		)14	20	)15	
Strongly Agree	9	2.77%	11	2.76%	10	2.81%	
Agree	97	29.85	110	27.64	103	28.93	
Neutral	87	26.77	113	28.39	103	28.93	
Disagree	77	23.69	107	26.88	91	25.56	
Strongly Disagree	55	16.92	57	14.32	49	13.76	
Total	325	100%	198	100%	356	100%	
Faculty members ca	n express d	lissenting vie	ws on unive	ersity governa	nce withou	ıt fear of	
		intimidation	or reprisal	•			
	20	013	20	014	2015		
Strongly Agree	21	6.31%	20	4.94%	25	7.02%	
Agree	92	27.63	108	26.67	97	27.25	
Neutral	77	23.12	94	23.21	66	18.54	
Disagree	90	27.03	116	28.64	110	30.90	
Strongly Disagree	53	15.92	67	16.54	58	16.29	
Total	333	100%	405	100%	356	100%	
Academic				sly to faculty			
		013		014		2015	
Strongly Agree	11	3.29%	15	3.65%	14	3.89%	
Agree	75	22.46	102	24.82	71	19.72	
Neutral	83	24.85	94	22.87	95	26.39	
Disagree	91	27.25	120	29.20	105	29.17	
Strongly Disagree	74	22.16	80	19.46	75	20.83	
Total	334	100%	411	100%	360	100%	
cademic administrato					n institutio	nal matter	
		013		014		)15	
Strongly Agree	16	4.95%	14	3.60%	7	1.98%	
Agree	78	24.15	102	26.22	67	18.98	
Neutral	90	27.86	111	28.53	120	33.99	
Disagree	75	23.22	95	24.42	98	27.76	
Strongly Disagree	64	19.81	67	17.22	61	17.28	
Total	323	100%	389	100%	353	100%	

		administ				
		013		014		015
Strongly Agree	7	2.16%	7	1.75%	6	1.69%
Agree	50	15.43	66	16.54	41	11.58
Neutral	89	27.47	93	23.31	75	21.19
Disagree	83	25.62	126	31.58	126	35.59
Strongly Disagree	95	29.32	107	26.82	106	29.94
Total	324	100%	399	100%	354	100%
	I have a r	ole in the gove	ernance of	my college.		
	2	013	2	014	20	015
Strongly Agree	18	5.49%	20	5.06%	22	6.16%
Agree	97	29.57	125	31.65	102	28.57
Neutral	77	23.48	96	24.30	87	24.37
Disagree	68	20.73	82	20.76	78	21.85
Strongly Disagree	68	20.73	72	18.23	68	19.05
Total	328	100%	395	100%	357	100%
I trust the lead	dership tea	m in my colle	ge (Dean, A	Associate/Assi	stant Dean	s).
	2	013	2	20	015	
Strongly Agree	90	25.71%	99	22.86%	87	22.60%
Agree	127	36.29	174	40.18	150	38.96
Neutral	59	16.86	76	17.55	74	19.22
Disagree	33	9.43	39	9.01	35	9.09
Strongly Disagree	41	11.71	45	10.39	39	10.13
Total	350	100%	433	100%	385	100%
	I trust	the leadership	in my dep	artment.		
	2	013	2	014	20	015
Strongly Agree	102	28.81%	117	26.41%	117	30.47%
Agree	117	33.05	154	34.76	120	31.25
Neutral	55	15.54	71	16.03	60	15.63
Disagree	30	33.05	42	9.48	33	8.59
Strongly Disagree	50	28.81	59	13.32	54	14.06
Total	354	100%	443	100%	384	100%
Overal	l, the gover	nance system	of my dep	artment is eff	ective.	
	2	013	2	014	20	015
Strongly Agree	75	21.37%	66	15.03%	75	19.69%
Agree	114	32.48	177	40.32	136	35.70
Neutral	74	21.08	85	19.36	82	21.52
Disagree	39	11.11	53	12.07	39	10.24
Strongly Disagree	49	13.96	58	13.21	49	12.86
Total	351	100%	439	100%	381	100%

The statements below a level of agreement with			Ransdell's	s performance	. Please ra	te your
Articulates a clear vi			university	y based on its	mission an	d values.
Tit ticulates a cical vi		013		014		015
Strongly Agree	63	18.58%	83	19.76%	59	15.69%
Agree	174	51.33	193	45.95	170	45.21
Neutral	54	15.93	77	18.33	74	19.68
Disagree	27	7.96	45	10.71	46	12.23
Strongly Disagree	21	6.19	22	5.24	27	7.18
Total	339	100%	420	100%	376	100%
	Honor	s commitmen	ts and agre	eements.		
	20	013	20	014	20	)15
Strongly Agree	39	13.04%	49	13.42%	38	11.66%
Agree	125	41.81	149	40.82	121	37.12
Neutral	77	25.75	86	23.56	90	27.61
Disagree	36	12.04	44	12.05	48	14.72
Strongly Disagree	22	7.36	37	10.14	29	8.90
Total	299	100%	365	100%	326	100%
Conveys accurate inf	formation a	about current	issues that	are importan	t to the fac	culty in a
		timely m	anner.			
	20	013	20	014	20	)15
Strongly Agree	41	12.42%	65	15.93%	43	11.53%
Agree	149	45.15	186	45.59	159	42.63
Neutral	70	21.21	79	19.36	96	25.74
Disagree	43	13.03	46	11.27	49	13.14
Strongly Disagree	27	8.18	32	7.84	26	6.97
Total	330	100%	408	100%	373	100%
Is wil			necessary,	, retract decisi		
	20	013	20	014	20	)15
Strongly Agree	25	8.83%	36	10.40%	27	8.79%
Agree	70	24.73	93	26.88	86	28.01
Neutral	90	31.80	95	27.46	90	29.32
Disagree	64	22.61	74	21.39	59	19.22
Strongly Disagree	34	12.01	78	13.87	45	14.66
Total	283	100%	346	100%	307	100%
Seeks i		•	*	fected by decis		
		013		014		)15
Strongly Agree	22	7.51%	23	6.50%	21	6.69%
Agree	60	60.48	89	25.14	60	19.11
Neutral	79	26.96	83	23.45	81	25.80
Disagree	79	26.96	79	22.32	96	30.57
Strongly Disagree	53	18.09	80	22.60	56	17.83
Total	293	100%	354	100%	314	100%

<b>Provides units with</b>	the resourc	es necessary 1	to successfu	ılly execute pl	lans and in	itiatives.		
	20	)13	20	)14	20	)15		
Strongly Agree	22	7.36%	25	6.78%	20	6.13%		
Agree	80	26.76	92	24.93	73	22.39		
Neutral	86	28.76	97	26.29	86	26.38		
Disagree	67	22.41	93	25.20	91	27.91		
Strongly Disagree	44	14.72	62	16.80	56	17.18		
Total	299	100%	369	100%	326	100%		
Engages in open dialo	ogue with t	he faculty on	important	current issues	s and is acc	essible to		
		facu						
	20	013	20	)14	20	)15		
Strongly Agree	28	8.89%	34	9.12%	30	8.93%		
Agree	99	31.43	111	29.76	93	27.68		
Neutral	84	26.67	103	27.61	90	26.79		
Disagree	60	19.05	65	17.43	77	22.92		
Strongly Disagree	44	13.97	60	16.09	46	13.69		
Total	315	100%	373	100%	336	100%		
Selects competent leaders and removes incompetent leaders from administrative positions.								
	20	013	20	)14	20	)15		
Strongly Agree	17	5.59%	17	4.55%	25	7.20%		
Agree	51	16.79	58	15.51	55	15.85		
Neutral	76	25.00	92	24.60	84	24.21		
Disagree	79	25.99	109	29.14	92	26.51		
Strongly Disagree	81	26.64	98	26.20	91	26.22		
Total	304	100%	374	100%	347	100%		
Works to provide ad	lequate pay	raises and ed	quitable cor	mpensation p	ackages for	r faculty.		
	20	)13	20	)14	20	)15		
Strongly Agree	24	7.29%	20	4.93%	21	5.83%		
Agree	75	22.80	64	15.76	42	11.67		
Neutral	56	17.02	99	24.38	79	21.94		
Disagree	76	23.10	94	23.15	72	20.00		
Strongly Disagree	98	29.79	129	31.77	146	40.56		
Total	329	100%	406	100%	360	100%		
I have confidence in	the Preside	nt's leadershi	p and endo	rse his contin	uance as P	resident.		
	20	)13	20	)14	20	)15		
Strongly Agree	60	17.70%	60	14.32%	49	13.10%		
Agree	125	36.87	153	36.52	129	34.49		
Neutral	92	27.14	116	27.68	114	30.48		
Disagree	34	10.03	49	11.69	40	10.70		
Strongly Disagree	28	8.26	41	9.79	42	11.23		
Total	339	100%	419	100%	374	100%		

The statements below a		rovost Gordo	n Emslie's <sub>l</sub>	performance	. Please rate	e your level
of agreement with each		ng and nalisis	a that maffa	at the mission	of the uni	rongity.
Develops and imple		ns and policie 013		et the mission 014		)15
Strongly Agree	12	4.00%	18	4.81%	21	6.33%
Agree	81	27.00	107	28.61	96	28.92
Neutral	86	28.67	104	27.81	100	30.12
Disagree	54	18.00	67	17.91	62	18.67
Strongly Disagree	67	22.33	78	20.86	53	15.96
Total	300	100%	374	100%	332	100%
Conveys accurate inf						
Conveys accurate in	or manon e	timely n		are importan	in to the fu	cuity iii u
	20	013		014	20	)15
Strongly Agree	15	4.92%	17	4.49%	17	5.12%
Agree	67	21.97	104	27.44	68	20.48
Neutral	72	23.61	93	24.54	102	30.72
Disagree	75	24.59	75	19.79	81	24.40
Strongly Disagree	76	24.92	90	23.75	64	19.28
Total	305	100%	379	100%	332	100%
Is wil	ling to reev	aluate and, if	necessary,	retract decis	sions.	
		2013 2014 2015				
Strongly Agree	17	5.72%	17	4.99%	16	5.26%
Agree	80	26.94	67	19.65	59	19.41
Neutral	74	24.92	89	26.10	80	26.32
Disagree	59	19387	71	20.82	77	25.33
Strongly Disagree	67	22.56	97	28.45	72	23.68
Total	297	100%	341	100%	304	100%
	Prior	ritizes budget	needs effec	ctively.		
	20	013	20	014	20	)15
Strongly Agree	11	4.15%	10	2.96%	17	5.72%
Agree	50	18.87	53	15.68	33	11.11
Neutral	90	33.96	118	34.91	95	31.99
Disagree	54	20.38	71	21.01	85	28.62
Strongly Disagree	60	22.64	86	25.44	67	22.56
Total	265	100%	338	100%	297	100%
Seeks i		faculty most				
		013		014		)15
Strongly Agree	13	4.44%	13	3.64%	15	4.79%
Agree	69	23.55	65	18.21	51	16.29
Neutral	59	20.14	76	21.29	70	22.36
Disagree	62	21.16	79	22.13	85	27.16
Strongly Disagree	90	30.72	124	34.73	92	29.39
Total	293	100%	357	100%	313	100%

	2013		2014		2015	
Strongly Agree	11	3.96%	11	3.06%	14	4.47%
Agree	54	19.42	54	15.04	46	14.70
Neutral	84	30.22	103	28.69	76	24.28
Disagree	52	18.71	83	23.12	102	32.59
Strongly Disagree	77	27.70	108	30.08	75	23.96
Total	278	100%	359	100%	313	100%
Engages in	open dialog	gue with the f	aculty on in	nportant cur	rent issues.	
	20	)13	20	)14	2015	
Strongly Agree	20	6.51%	21	3.06%	17	5.21%
Agree	79	25.73	72	15.04	55	16.87
Neutral	71	23.13	88	28.69	75	23.01
Disagree	59	1922	83	23.12	90	27.61
Strongly Disagree	78	25.41	108	30.08	89	27.30
Total	307	100%	359	100%	326	100%
		Is accessible				
	2013		2014		2015	
Strongly Agree	15	5.43%	22	6.36%	17	5.52%
Agree	57	20.65	64	18.50	48	15.58
Neutral	64	23.19	82	23.70	83	26.95
Disagree	53	19.20	67	19.36	69	22.40
Strongly Disagree	87	31.52	111	32.08	91	29.55
Total	276	100%	346	100%	308	100%
Selects competent lead			<del>_</del>			_
		)13	2014		2015	
Strongly Agree	8	3.17%	11	3.47%	13	4.48%
Agree	32	12.70	40	12.62	36	12.41
Neutral	86	34.13	98	30.91	90	31.03
Disagree	51	20.24	72	22.71	68	23.45
Strongly Disagree	75	29.76	96	30.28	83	28.62
Total	252	100%	317	100%	290	100%
Routinely		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		matters and		
	2013		2014		2015	
Strongly Agree	11	3.75%	14	3.85%	16	5.06%
Agree	59	20.14	58	15.93	45	14.24
Neutral	71	24.23	94	25.82	73	23.10
Disagree	71	24.23	79	21.70	77	24.37
Strongly Disagree	81	27.65	119	32.69	105	33.23
Total	293	100%	364	100%	316	100%

Strongly Agree 1 Agree 4		ents makes a	cademics fl	la a 4 a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a							
Agree 4				ne top priority	y.						
Agree 4	1		2014		2015						
<u> </u>	. 1	3.79%	17	4.82%	11	3.55%					
Neutral 6	-6	15.86	58	16.43	55	17.74					
	59	23.79	77	21.81	74	23.87					
Disagree 8	3	15.86	99	28.05	82	26.45					
Strongly Disagree 8	31	3.79	102	28.90	88	28.39					
Total 29	90	100%	353	100%	310	100%					
The Board respects and supports the faculty's role in institutional governance.											
	2013		201	4	2015						
Strongly Agree 1	.3	4.71%	18	5.39%	19	6.27%					
Agree 5	8	21.01	69	20.66	59	19.47					
Neutral 8	34	30.43	81	24.25	90	29.70					
Disagree	57	24.28	79	23.65	69	22.77					
83 8	54	19.57	87	26.05	66	21.78					
Total 2	76	100%	334	100%	303	100%					
The Board has a genuine int	erest in s	eeking input	from the f	aculty, staff, a	and studen	t regents.					
	2013		2014		2015						
Strongly Agree 1	.3	4.80%	21	6.38%	21	7.02%					
Agree 5	0	18.45	51	15.50	51	17.06					
Neutral 7	0	25.83	80	24.32	70	23.41					
Disagree 7	0	25.83	83	25.23	79	26.42					
Strongly Disagree 6	58	25.09	94	28.57	78	26.09					
Total 2	71	100%	329	100%	299	100%					
The Board upholds its respon	-	to ensure tha e institution's		ution's resour	rces are dec	dicated to					
	2013		2014		2015						
Strongly Agree 1	1	4.07%	23	6.73%	18	5.96%					
	66	24.44	64	18.71	59	19.54					
<u> </u>	33	30.74	103	30.12	103	34.11					
	66	20.74	72	21.05	60	19.87					
<u> </u>	54	20.00	80	23.39	62	20.53					
	70	100%	342	100%	302	100%					