Proposal to Amend WKU Faculty Handbook: <u>Substantive Change</u>

10-2017 Continuance Documentation Flow

Substantive change is defined as addition, deletion, or revision of policy or procedure.

Contact Name: Margaret Crowder	Date Submitted: 11/12/15
Contact Email address: margaret.crowder@wku.edu	Contact Phone number: 745-5973
1. Type of Change:	
Addition: Where possible, identify the section	of the handbook to which addition is proposed:
Deletion: Identify the section of the handbook	from which deletion is proposed:
Revision: Identify the section of the handbook	to which revision is proposed: IV.B.3.a.
*Please note – this is a holdover change from last term; this change was approved by Faculty Handbook, but did not make it to Senate for review. The change has been reformatted and is being resubmitted by Handbook for consideration.	
2. Proposals should be made in the form of text intende in part, some current section of the Faculty Handbook.	ed as an addition to or a replacement of, in whole or
Current wording:	
() Materials shall be submitted by January 30 of the first y subsequent year.	year of appointment and by September 1 for each
By September 10 (February 7 for the first year evaluate to the department head in which the faculty discussion department head will then promptly inform in writing ecommittee's vote. Any deficiency in performance will and the faculty member under review will be given a correspond. Candidates may submit a response to department to the dean will include the result of the continuance contained.	is summarized and the vote count reported. The each candidate for continuance of the results of the be clearly identified, documented and explained opy of the evaluation with an opportunity to lent head. The department head's recommendation
The department head's evaluation and recommendation September 20 (February 15 for the first year evaluation department head will inform the faculty member in write	a). In case of a negative recommendation, the

Proposed wording:

 (\dots)

Materials shall be submitted by January 25 of the first year of appointment and by August 20 for each subsequent year.

(...)

Once the committee has met, the committee chair will create a memorandum in which the faculty discussion is summarized and the vote count reported. The committee will be given the opportunity to review, revise, and approve the document. By September 4 (February 1 for the first year evaluation), the committee chair will send this memorandum to the department head.

As soon as practical after receipt of the memorandum, the department head will provide the candidate with a continuation evaluation in which the candidate's strengths and weaknesses in performance, as noted by the committee and/or the department head, will be clearly identified, documented, and explained. This evaluation will include:

- the committee's vote
- the department head's continuance evaluation

Candidate may request committee memorandum through employment records.

The candidate will be given an opportunity to meet with the department head and send to the department head and dean a response to these evaluations by September 11 (February 8 for the first year evaluation).

The department head's evaluation and recommendation will be submitted to the college dean no later than September 20 (February 15 for the first year evaluation). The department head's recommendation to the dean will include:

- the result of the continuance committee's vote
- the committee's memorandum in full
- the department head's continuance evaluation

In case of a negative recommendation, the department head will inform the faculty member in writing.

3. Rationale for amendment:

Clarifies which documentation is provided to the department head, the candidate, and the dean, respectively, and to which document the candidate is provided an opportunity to respond. Identifies further progression of the timeline. Ensures the committee has a chance to review the committee report. Ensures candidate response is included in materials that go forward. Also uses consistent wording, such as "candidate" for "faculty member under review."