Faculty Worklife Survey 2013-2018 Characteristics of Participants **Response Rate** 2013 2018 2015 2016 2017 2014 1,251 **Total Faculty** 1,199 1,197 1,180 1,200 Data unavailable Total 407 507 427 380 515 439 Respondents Response Rate 32.53% 42.29% 35.67% 37.20% 31.67% **#VALUE!** Gender 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 172 42.36% 44.38% 43.97% 42.47% 158 41.80% 217 42.14% Male 225 186 186 Female 234 57.64% 282 55.62% 237 56.03% 252 57.53% 54.50% 278 53.98% 206 Transgender 1 0.26% 0 0.00% Male Transgender 0.00% 0.00% 0 0 Female 0.26% 0.39% Other 2 Prefer not to 12 3.17% 3.50% 18 answer 406 100% 507 100% 100% 423 100% 438 100% 378 515 100% Total **Tenure-Track Position** 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 Yes 268 66.34% 346 68.65% 313 73.30% 312 71.07% 288 75.79% 387 75.44% 24.21% No 136 33.66% 158 31.35% 114 26.70% 127 28.93% 92 126 24.56% Total 404 100% 504 100% 427 100% 439 380 100% 513 100% 100% Your Rank 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018

_												
Part-time Instructor	59	14.50%	67	13.24%	53	12.44%	61	13.99%	36	9.52%	46	9.00%
Full-time Instructor	75	18.43%	85	16.80%	54	12.68%	58	13.30%	53	14.02%	66	12.92%
Assistant Professor	88	21.62%	127	25.10%	114	26.76%	102	23.39%	83	21.96%	113	22.11%
Associate Professor	115	28.26%	140	27.67%	122	28.64%	123	28.21%	117	30.95%	159	31.12%
Professor	70	17.20%	84	17.19%	83	19.48%	92	21.10%	89	23.54%	127	24.85%
Total	407	100%	506	100%	426	100%	436	100%	378	100%	511	100%
Your Affiliation	1											
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	2018
College of Education and Behavioral Sciences	58	14.43%	61	12.15%	52	12.24%	58	13.52%	40	10.64%	63	12.35%
College of Health and Human Services	84	20.90%	107	21.31%	72	16.94%	87	20.28%	73	19.41%	102	20.00%
Gordon Ford College of Business	21	5.22%	34	6.77%	25	5.88%	22	5.13%	23	6.12%	38	7.45%
Ogden College of Science and Engineering	79	19.65%	100	19.92%	101	23.76%	86	20.05%	75	19.95%	97	19.02%
Potter College of Arts and Letters	107	26.62%	137	27.29%	124	29.18%	131	30.54%	126	33.51%	158	30.98%
University College	45	11.19%	48	9.56%	40	9.41%	26	6.06%	28	7.45%	33	6.47%

University Libraries	8	1.99%	15	2.99%	11	2.59%	19	4.43%	11	2.93%	19	3.73%
Total	402	100%	502	100%	425	100%	429	100%	376	100%	510	100%
Your responsibil	ities are	primarily										
	2	2013	2	014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	018
Teaching /			455	00.000/		00.4404		07.100/	220	07.0404		00.530/
Research	356	88.12%	455	89.92%	381	89.44%	381	87.19%	329	87.04%	455	88.52%
_	356 32	7.92%	455 35	6.92%	381	89.44% 8.22%	381	87.19%	329	9.79%	455	88.52%
Research												

Trends in Faculty Attitudes 2013-2018

Please rate your level of satisfaction with the following support services at WKU using the scale below.

rease rate your		i suisiacii	,11 11 1111		Sapp	or t ber vice	5 at 111	using t	iic scai	C DCIOW.		
				L	ibrary	Resources						
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	2018
Very Satisfied	79	21.29%	115	24.63%	101	25.63%	117	29.03%	98	28.00%	137	29.27%
Satisfied	172	46.36%	219	46.90%	174	44.16%	194	48.14%	172	49.14%	217	46.37%
Neutral	62	16.71%	81	17.34%	67	17.01%	55	13.65%	43	12.29%	73	15.60%
Dissatisfied	37	9.97%	40	8.57%	41	10.41%	30	7.44%	30	8.57%	33	7.05%
Very Dissatisfied	21	5.66%	12	2.57%	11	2.79%	7	1.74%	7	2.00%	8	1.71%
Total	371	100%	467	100%	394	100%	403	100%	350	100%	468	100%
			Ava	ilability of	Univer	sity-sponso	red ch	ildcare				
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	2018
Very Satisfied	3	2.73%	4	3.01%	7	5.60%	4	3.23%	4	3.96%	4	2.74%
Satisfied	12	10.91%	16	12.03%	18	14.40%	5	4.03%	10	9.90%	13	8.90%
Neutral	52	47.27%	61	45.86%	49	39.20%	66	53.23%	44	43.56%	67	45.89%
Dissatisfied	22	20.00%	27	20.30%	30	24.00%	26	20.97%	20	19.80%	33	22.60%
Very Dissatisfied	21	19.09%	25	18.80%	21	16.80%	23	18.55%	23	22.77%	29	19.86%
Total	110	100%	133	100%	125	100%	124	100%	101	100%	146	100%

				Paternit	y/mater	nity leave	options	S				
	2	2013	2	014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	018
Very Satisfied	7	5.69%	13	8.23%	13	7.60%	15	9.68%	8	6.40%	13	6.91%
Satisfied	25	20.33%	32	20.25%	28	16.37%	17	10.97%	20	16.00%	33	17.55%
Neutral	52	42.28%	69	43.67%	70	40.94%	60	38.71%	44	35.20%	69	36.70%
Dissatisfied	20	16.26%	26	16.46%	35	20.47%	34	21.94%	30	24.00%	40	21.28%
Very Dissatisfied	19	15.45%	18	11.39%	25	14.62%	29	18.71%	23	18.40%	33	17.55%
Total	123	100%	158	100%	171	100%	155	100%	125	100%	188	100%
			Your k	nowledge o	f pateri	nity/materi	nity lea	ve options				
	2	2013	2	014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	018
Very Satisfied	21	13.73%	18	9.14%	31	13.66%						
Satisfied	44	28.76%	49	24.87%	71	31.28%						
Neutral	63	41.18%	80	40.61%	91	40.09%						
Dissatisfied	14	9.15%	26	13.20%	21	9.25%						
Very Dissatisfied	11	7.19%	24	12.18%	13	5.73%						
Total	153	100%	197	100%	227	100%						
				Info	ormatio	n technolo	gy					
	2	2013	2	014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	018
Very Satisfied	124	31.88%	156	31.97%	125	30.05%	145	33.96%	107	29.00%	129	25.75%
Satisfied	193	49.61%	245	50.20%	209	50.24%	207	48.48%	182	49.32%	261	52.10%
Neutral	49	12.60%	56	11.48%	49	11.78%	47	11.01%	51	13.82%	81	16.17%
Dissatisfied	16	4.11%	25	5.12%	24	5.77%	22	5.15%	24	6.50%	23	4.59%
Very Dissatisfied	7	1.80%	6	1.23%	9	2.16%	6	1.41%	5	1.36%	7	1.40%
Total	389	100%	488	100%	416	100%	427	100%	369	100%	501	100%
				Parking a	nd tran	sportation	servic	es				
	2013 2014				2	015	2	016	2	017	2	018
Very Satisfied	36	9.57%	45	9.47%	31	7.85%	33	7.93%	24	6.74%	41	8.69%
Satisfied	142	37.77%	163	34.32%	148	37.47%	159	38.22%	137	38.48%	189	40.04%

Neutral	76	20.21%	122	25.68%	83	21.01%	96	23.08%	84	23.60%	120	25.42%
Dissatisfied	73	19.41%	97	20.42%	87	22.03%	94	22.60%	82	23.03%	82	17.37%
Very Dissatisfied	49	13.03%	48	10.11%	46	11.65%	34	8.17%	29	8.15%	40	8.47%
Total	376	100%	475	100%	395	100%	416	100%	356	100%	472	100%
				W	KU Sto	ore service	S					
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	2018
Very Satisfied	42	12.14%	58	13.49%	41	11.14%	52	13.79%	29	8.90%	54	12.36%
Satisfied	150	43.35%	187	43.49%	139	37.77%	161	42.71%	160	49.08%	189	43.25%
Neutral	105	30.35%	107	24.88%	105	28.53%	95	25.20%	80	24.54%	141	32.27%
Dissatisfied	26	7.51%	47	10.93%	43	11.68%	48	12.73%	39	11.96%	35	8.01%
Very Dissatisfied	23	6.65%	31	7.21%	40	10.87%	21	5.57%	18	5.52%	18	4.12%
Total	346	100%	430	100%	368	100%	377	100%	326	100%	437	100%
				Ca	mpus f	ood service	es					
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	2018
Very Satisfied	26	7.56%	31	7.54%	38	10.76%	66	17.37%	35	10.14%	37	8.26%
Satisfied	129	37.50%	169	41.12%	154	43.63%	176	46.32%	157	45.51%	179	39.96%
Neutral	88	25.58%	121	29.44%	87	24.65%	87	22.89%	89	25.80%	129	28.79%
Dissatisfied	64	18.60%	64	15.57%	54	15.30%	35	9.21%	47	13.62%	79	17.63%
Very Dissatisfied	37	10.76%	26	6.33%	20	5.67%	16	4.21%	17	4.93%	24	5.36%
Total	344	100%	411	100%	353	100%	380	100%	345	100%	448	100%
Please rate your	sense (of general f	aculty n	norale at W	KU usi	ng the scal	e belov	v. In my oj	pinion,	faculty mo	rale is	
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	2018
Very Good	8	2.29%	19	4.40%	9	2.45%	4	1.07%	6	1.86%	6	1.35%
Good	159	45.56%	177	40.97%	148	40.22%	103	27.54%	70	21.67%	67	15.06%
Poor	121	34.67%	165	38.19%	147	39.95%	167	44.65%	143	44.27%	184	41.35%
Very Poor	61	17.48%	71	16.44%	64	17.39%	100	26.74%	104	32.20%	188	42.25%
Total	240	100%	432	100%	368	100%	374	100%	323	100%	445	100%
Total	349	100%	432	10070	300	100%	3/4	10070	323	10070	443	10070

The statements	below a	are about fa	culty w	orkload an	d work	oad satisfa	action.	Rate your	level of	f agreemer	t with	each
statement.												
				eaching loa								
		2013		014		015		016		017		2018
Strongly Agree	44	12.61%	73	15.43%	59	14.57%	60	14.71%	50	13.93%	80	16.60%
Agree	172	49.28%	237	50.11%	227	56.05%	216	52.94%	188	52.37%	245	50.83%
Neutral	66	18.91%	59	12.47%	43	10.62%	42	10.29%	41	11.42%	43	8.92%
Disagree	40	11.46%	81	17.12%	58	14.32%	72	17.65%	60	16.71%	85	17.63%
Strongly Disagree	27	7.74%	23	4.86%	18	4.44%	18	4.41%	20	5.57%	29	6.02%
Total	349	100%	473	100%	405	100%	408	100%	359	100%	482	100%
			My:	service load	l is reas	onable and	d mana	geable.				
	2	2013	2	014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	2018
Strongly Agree	44	12.61%	39	8.90%	45	11.60%	42	10.85%	39	11.05%	65	13.95%
Agree	172	49.28%	257	58.68%	205	52.84%	208	53.75%	167	47.31%	235	50.43%
Neutral	66	18.91%	58	13.24%	61	15.72%	46	11.89%	57	16.15%	60	12.88%
Disagree	40	11.46%	51	11.64%	54	13.92%	63	16.28%	62	17.56%	69	14.81%
Strongly Disagree	27	7.74%	33	7.53%	23	5.93%	28	7.24%	28	7.93%	37	7.94%
Total	349	100%	438	100%	388	100%	387	100%	353	100%	466	100%
			My r	esearch loa	d is rea	sonable an	d man	ageable.				
	2	2013	2	014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	2018
Strongly Agree	28	9.15%	38	10.11%	33	9.73%	36	10.56%	38	12.46%	63	15.04%
Agree	140	45.75%	178	47.34%	155	45.72%	172	50.44%	166	54.43%	225	53.70%
Neutral	73	23.86%	81	21.54%	85	25.07%	83	24.34%	52	17.05%	65	15.51%
Disagree	44	14.38%	62	16.49%	45	13.27%	37	10.85%	39	12.79%	54	12.89%
Strongly Disagree	21	6.86%	17	4.52%	21	6.19%	13	3.81%	10	3.28%	12	2.86%
Total	306	100%	376	100%	339	100%	341	100%	305	100%	419	100%
		Consid	lering a	ll of my res	ponsibi	lities, my v	vorkloa	nd is mana	geable.	,		
	2	2013	2	014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	2018

Strongly Agree							40	9.73%	35	9.46%	67	13.67%
Agree							204	49.64%	164	44.32%	223	45.51%
Neutral							63	15.33%	58	15.68%	69	14.08%
Disagree							72	17.52%	79	21.35%	92	18.78%
Strongly							32	7.79%	34	9.19%	39	7.060/
Disagree							32	1.19%	34	9.19%	39	7.96%
Total							411	100%	370	100%	490	100%
				My wo	orkload	l is satisfac	tory.					
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	2018
Strongly Agree	41	10.73%	49	10.27%	48	11.82%	43	10.31%	34	9.32%	67	13.67%
Agree	174	45.55%	218	45.70%	178	43.84%	193	46.28%	150	41.10%	215	43.88%
Neutral	58	15.18%	78	16.35%	68	16.75%	61	14.63%	62	16.99%	64	13.06%
Disagree	67	17.54%	95	19.92%	75	18.47%	89	21.34%	86	23.56%	105	21.43%
Strongly Disagree	42	10.99%	37	7.76%	37	9.11%	31	7.43%	33	9.04%	39	7.96%
Total	382	100%	477	100%	406	100%	417	100%	365	100%	490	100%
		I underst	and the	procedure	by whic	ch workloa	d is de	termined i	n my u	nit.		
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	2018
Strongly Agree	47	12.63%	66	14.29%	55	13.89%	62	15.66%	46	13.07%	72	15.06%
Agree	164	44.09%	215	46.54%	184	46.46%	180	45.45%	144	40.91%	217	45.40%
Neutral	53	14.25%	54	11.69%	54	13.64%	52	13.13%	52	14.77%	55	11.51%
Disagree	65	17.47%	71	15.37%	57	14.39%	60	15.15%	76	21.59%	82	17.15%
Strongly Disagree	43	11.56%	56	12.12%	46	11.62%	42	10.61%	34	9.66%	52	10.88%
Total	372	100%	462	100%	396	100%	396	100%	352	100%	478	100%
		Unive	rsity pr	ocedures fo	r estab	lishing wo	rkload	are appro	priate.			
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	2018
Strongly Agree	20	5.87%	26	6.30%	30	8.50%	26	10.79%	17	7.73%	21	6.95%
Agree	90	26.39%	136	32.93%	108	30.59%	79	32.78%	56	25.45%	88	29.14%
Neutral	91	26.69%	98	23.73%	82	23.23%	47	19.50%	54	24.55%	68	22.52%
Disagree	81	23.75%	95	23.00%	89	25.21%	61	25.31%	59	26.82%	71	23.51%
	_										_	

Strongly		17.0004	~0	4.4.0.454		10 100	• •	11.500	2.4	4 7 4 7		4-0004
Disagree	59	17.30%	58	14.04%	44	12.46%	28	11.62%	34	15.45%	54	17.88%
Total	341	100%	413	100%	353	100%	241	100%	220	100%	302	100%
The statements											h each s	statement.
		ve a clear u										
		2013		014		015	2	016	2	017	2	018
Strongly Agree	38	12.93%	79	20.68%	69	20.23%						
Agree	126	42.86%	170	44.50%	146	42.82%						
Neutral	46	15.65%	45	11.78%	47	13.78%						
Disagree	50	17.01%	63	16.49%	50	14.66%						
Strongly Disagree	34	11.56%	25	6.54%	29	8.50%						
Total	294	100%	382	100%	341	100%						
		I have a	clear u	nderstandi	ng of w	hat is requ	ired fo	r tenure d	ecision	S.		
	2	2013	2	014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	018
Strongly Agree							76	22.42%	71	22.76%	94	22.65%
Agree							169	49.85%	144	46.15%	207	49.88%
Neutral							29	8.55%	26	8.33%	39	9.40%
Disagree							45	13.27%	45	14.42%	53	12.77%
Strongly							20	<i>5</i> ,000/	26	0.220/	22	5 200/
Disagree							20	5.90%	26	8.33%	22	5.30%
Total							339	100%	312	100%	415	100%
		I have a c	lear und	lerstanding	g of wha	ıt is requir	ed for j	promotion	decisio	ns.		
	2	2013	2	014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	018
Strongly Agree							69	19.33%	61	18.54%	82	18.68%
Agree					_	_	151	42.30%	141	42.86%	208	47.38%
Neutral							45	12.61%	25	7.60%	56	12.76%
Disagree							62	17.37%	65	19.76%	65	14.81%
Strongly Disagree							30	8.40%	37	11.25%	28	6.38%

m . 1							0.55	1000/	220	1000/	420	1000/
Total							357	100%	329	100%	439	100%
				d promotio								
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	.018
Strongly Agree	21	6.69%	47	12.08%	47	13.43%						
Agree	96	30.57%	138	35.48%	102	29.14%						
Neutral	70	22.29%	70	17.99%	70	20.00%						
Disagree	82	26.11%	93	23.91%	87	24.86%						
Strongly Disagree	45	14.33%	41	10.54%	44	12.57%						
Total	314	100%	389	100%	350	100%						
			Tei	nure proced	lures at	: WKU are	transp	arent.				
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	018
Strongly Agree							39	11.37%	31	9.84%	59	14.32%
Agree							123	35.86%	113	35.87%	165	40.05%
Neutral							69	20.12%	68	21.59%	84	20.39%
Disagree							74	21.57%	61	19.37%	65	15.78%
Strongly Disagree							38	11.08%	42	13.33%	39	9.47%
Total							343	100%	315	100%	412	100%
			Pron	notion proc	edures	at WKU a	re trans	sparent.				
	2	2013		2014		015		016	2	017	2	018
Strongly Agree							35	9.92%	31	9.51%	56	12.96%
Agree							117	33.14%	96	29.45%	165	38.19%
Neutral							70	19.83%	71	21.78%	87	20.14%
Disagree							90	25.50%	75	23.01%	79	18.29%
Strongly							41	11 (10)	52	16.260/	4.5	10.400/
Disagree							41	11.61%	53	16.26%	45	10.42%
Total							353	100%	326	100%	432	100%
		I am	aware o	of the criter	ia for n	nerit increa	ases in 1	my depart	ment.			
	2	2013 2014 2015 2016 2017				017	2	018				
Strongly Agree	19	5.81%	23	5.93%	19	5.48%	13	4.35%	9	3.24%	23	6.23%

Agree	57	17.43%	75	19.33%	44	12.68%	34	11.37%	30	10.79%	40	10.84%
Neutral	43	13.15%	45	11.60%	37	10.66%	35	11.71%	25	8.99%	43	11.65%
Disagree	90	27.52%	105	27.06%	111	31.99%	80	26.76%	78	28.06%	101	27.37%
Strongly Disagree	118	36.09%	140	36.08%	136	39.19%	137	45.82%	136	48.92%	162	43.90%
Total	327	100%	388	100%	347	100%	299	100%	278	100%	369	100%
			I ar	n satisfied v	vith the	merit incı	rease p	rocess.				
	2013		2	014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	018
Strongly Agree	11	3.64%	13	3.63%	9	2.87%	4	1.35%	5	1.90%	14	4.12%
Agree	33	10.93%	44	12.29%	19	C 050/	17	<i>5 740</i> /	13	4.94%	22	6760/
U		10.75/0	44	12.2970	19	6.05%	17	5.74%	13	4.94%	23	6.76%
Neutral	46	15.23%	50	13.97%	49	15.61%	35	3.74% 11.82%	32	12.17%	45	13.24%
Neutral	46	15.23%	50	13.97%	49	15.61%	35	11.82%	32	12.17%	45	13.24%

The statements below are about instructor and course evaluations (Sites), service, rank and overall job satisfaction. Rate your level of agreement with each statement.

The current form of instructor and course evaluation (SITEs) provides effective information about teaching effectiveness.

	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	2018
Strongly Agree	6	1.62%	17	3.76%	8	2.03%	15	3.93%	8	2.30%	8	1.71%
Agree	76	20.54%	89	19.69%	79	20.00%	65	17.02%	57	16.38%	78	16.70%
Neutral	72	19.46%	71	15.71%	62	15.70%	59	15.45%	65	18.68%	99	21.20%
Disagree	105	28.38%	129	28.54%	121	30.63%	109	28.53%	105	30.17%	139	29.76%
Strongly Disagree	111	30.00%	146	32.30%	125	31.65%	134	35.08%	113	32.47%	143	30.62%
Total	370	100%	452	100%	395	100%	382	100%	348	100%	467	100%
		I rec	eive app	ropriate ar	nd const	tructive fee	edback	about tead	hing.			
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	2018
Strongly Agree	13	3.50%	25	5.46%	17	4.28%						
Agree	110	29.65%	144	31.44%	120	30.23%						

X7	00	26.6004	107	22.022/	111	07.030						
Neutral	99	26.68%	105	22.93%	111	27.96%						
Disagree	95	25.61%	109	23.80%	94	23.68%						
Strongly Disagree	54	14.56%	75	16.38%	55	13.85%						
Total	371	100%	458	100%	397	100%						
		I re	ceive co	nstructive f	feedbac	k about tea	aching	from stude	ents.			
	2	2013	2	014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	018
Strongly Agree							34	8.70%	21	5.97%	33	6.98%
Agree							145	37.08%	135	38.35%	166	35.10%
Neutral							76	19.44%	72	20.45%	126	26.64%
Disagree							92	23.53%	74	21.02%	95	20.08%
Strongly Disagree							44	11.25%	50	14.20%	53	11.21%
Total							391	100%	352	100%	473	100%
		I receive	constru	ctive feedb	ack ab	out teachin	g from	departme	nt heac	ds.		
	2	2013	2	2014	2	2015	2	016	2	017	2	018
Strongly Agree							36	9.50%	22	6.38%	29	6.33%
Agree							131	34.56%	113	32.75%	155	33.84%
Neutral							82	21.64%	73	21.16%	108	23.58%
Disagree							73	19.26%	69	20.00%	95	20.74%
Strongly Disagree							57	15.04%	68	19.71%	71	15.50%
Total							379	100%	345	100%	458	100%
		I rec	eive con	structive fe	edback	about tea	ching f	rom collea	gues.			
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	018
Strongly Agree							40	11.08%	26	7.83%	35	7.85%
Agree							144	39.89%	121	36.45%	184	41.26%
Neutral							85	23.55%	83	25.00%	106	23.77%
Disagree	_						54	14.96%	61	18.37%	75	16.82%
Strongly Disagree							38	10.53%	41	12.35%	46	10.31%

Total							361	100%	332	100%	446	100%
]	I am aff	orded an a	ppropri	ate degree	of autor	omy with	regard	to my are	eas of re	sponsibili	ty.	
	2	013	2	2014	2	015	2	016	2	017	20)18
Strongly Agree	115	30.50%	139	29.70%	119	29.46%	130	32.34%	110	30.64%	144	29.81%
Agree	187	49.60%	247	52.78%	217	53.71%	205	51.00%	199	55.43%	256	53.00%
Neutral	43	11.41%	41	8.76%	26	6.44%	33	8.21%	25	6.96%	48	9.94%
Disagree	20	5.31%	24	5.13%	28	6.93%	20	4.98%	13	3.62%	23	4.76%
Strongly Disagree	12	3.18%	17	3.63%	14	3.47%	14	3.48%	12	3.34%	12	2.48%
Total	377	100%	468	100%	404	100%	402	100%	359	100%	483	100%
		Rel	ative to	years of ser	vice an	d rank my	salary	is satisfac	ctory.			
	2	013	2	2014	2	015	2	016	2	017	20	018
Strongly Agree			16	3.45%	9	2.23%	15	3.71%				
Agree			87	18.75%	63	15.59%	47	11.63%				
Neutral			61	13.15%	52	12.87%	50	12.38%				
Disagree			129	27.80%	107	26.49%	93	23.02%				
Strongly Disagree			171	36.85%	173	42.82%	199	49.26%				
Total			464	100%	404	100%	404	100%				
				Overall, I	have hi	gh job sat	isfactio	n.				
	2	013	2	2014	2	015	2	016	2	017	20)18
Strongly Agree	35	9.19%	47	10.00%	35	8.68%	35	8.60%	30	8.24%	45	9.28%
Agree	133	34.91%	167	35.53%	131	32.51%	122	29.98%	137	37.64%	186	38.35%
Neutral	85	22.31%	103	21.91%	83	20.60%	80	19.66%	92	25.27%	102	21.03%
Disagree	88	23.10%	89	18.94%	99	24.57%	115	28.26%	60	16.48%	93	19.18%
Strongly Disagree	40	10.50%	64	13.62%	55	13.65%	55	13.51%	45	12.36%	59	12.16%
Total	381	100%	470	100%	403	100%	407	100%	364	100%	485	100%
On average, ho	w many	credit hou	rs do yo	u teach per	r year?							
	2	013	2	2014	2	015	2	016	2	017	20	018
	N Mean	SD Range	N Mean	SD Range	N Mean	SD Range	N Mear	n SD Range	N Mean	SD Range	N Mean	SD Range

Fall Term	382	9	4.2	0-24	474	8.8	4.1	0-21	405	8.4	3.9	0-15		8.4				8.9			476	9.1	3.9	0-24
Spring Term	383	8.7	4.1	0-24	474	8.5	4.1	0-21	405	8.1	3.9	0-18		8.2				8.6			477	8.9	3.9	0-24
Summer Term	383	2.3	3.2	0-24	473	2.3	2.9	0-12	404	2.2	2.8	0-12		2.2				2.1			410	2.5	2.8	0-15
January Term	382	0.7	1.8	0-24	473	0.7	1.3	0-12	405	0.7	1.2	0-6		0.7				0.6			384	0.8	1.4	0-9
Per week, abou	ıt ho	w m	any	hours	do y	you d	levo	te to te	eachi	ing, i	inclı	ıding	pre	para	atio	n, gra	din	g and	d of	ffice h	ours	s?		
		2	013			2	014			20)15			20)16			20)17			20	018	
	N	Mean	SD	Range	N	Mean	SD	Range	N	Mean	SD	Range	N	Mean	SD	Range	N	Mean	SD	Range	N	Mean	SD	Range
Teaching	377	9.8	6	0-55.5	463	9.8	5.9	0-40	404	9	4.8	0-26		9.1				9.9			465	9.8	5.6	0-40
Teaching Preparation	378	9.8	7	0-45	463	10	7.4	0-55	404	9.6	6.5	0-36		9.1				8.9			465	9.6	7	0-40
Grading					464	6	4.9	0-25	404	6.2	5.2	0-36		6				6.3			465	6.9	5.4	0-35
Office Hours	378	7.5	6.9	0-60	463	7.2	6.8	0-50	404	6.8	6.2	0-42		6.9				7			463	6.5	5	0-40
Per week, abou	ıt ho	w m	any	hours	do y	you d	levo	te to s	ervic	e (de	epar	tmen	tal,	colle	ege,	unive	ersit	y, or	· otl	her)?				
		2	013			2	014			20)15			20)16			20)17			20	018	
	N	Mean	SD	Range	N	Mean	SD	Range	N	Mean	SD	Range	N	Mean	SD	Range	N	Mean	SD	Range	N	Mean	SD	Range
Departmental Service	371	4.3	6.5	0-50	462	4.6	6.5	0-45	402	4	5.1	0-33	414	4.3			363	4.8			458	4.8	6	0-40
College Service	370	1.4	2.5	0-30	460	1.5	2.4	0-20	402	1.6	2.4	0-20	414	1.8			362	1.5			437	1.9	3.1	0-40
University Service	371	1.6	4.3	0-40	461	1.5	3	0-37.5	401	1.9	3.9	0-60	415	1.7			361	1.9			433	2	3.6	0-40
Other Service	369	2.3	4.1	0-40	461	2.4	4.6	0-37.5	401	2.6	4.7	0-40	412	2.2			363	2.7			412	2.7	3.7	0-20
Per week, abou	ıt ho	w m	any	hours	do y	you d	levo	te to r	eseai	rch/s	chol	larsh	ip/c	reati	ve a	activit	ies?							
		2	013			2	014			20)15			20)16			20)17			20	018	
	N	Mean	SD	Range	N	Mean	SD	Range	N	Mean	SD	Range	N	Mean	SD	Range	N	Mean	SD	Range	N	Mean	SD	Range
Research/Schol arship/Creative Activities	368	6.6	7.1	0-48	458	6.9	7.6	0-40	401	7.5	7.7	0-40	414	7.1			362	7.4			455	7.8	8.6	0-100
The statements statement.	bel	ow a	re a	bout u	nive	ersity	, col	llege a	nd d	epar	tme	ntal ş	gove	rnai	nce.	Rate	you	r lev	vel o	of agr	eem	ent v	vith	each

				I am info	rmed ab	out campi	ıs issue	S.				
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	018
Strongly Agree											36	7.95%
Agree											267	58.94%
Neutral											101	22.30%
Disagree											39	8.61%
Strongly											10	2.21%
Disagree											10	2.2170
Total											453	100%
			T	he Universi	ty foste	rs shared ş	governa	ance.				
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	018
Strongly Agree	9	2.77%	11	5.56%	10	2.81%	8	2.27%	6	1.88%	10	2.26%
Agree	97	29.85%	110	55.56%	103	28.93%	101	28.69%	77	24.06%	115	26.02%
Neutral	87	26.77%	113	57.07%	103	28.93%	98	27.84%	88	27.50%	151	34.16%
Disagree	77	23.69%	107	54.04%	91	25.56%	97	27.56%	85	26.56%	120	27.15%
Strongly Disagree	55	16.92%	57	28.79%	49	13.76%	48	13.64%	64	20.00%	46	10.41%
Total	325	100%	198	100%	356	100%	352	100%	320	100%	442	100%
Faculty me	mbers	can express	dissent	ing views o	n unive	rsity gover	rnance	without fe	ar of in	timidation	or rep	risal.
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	018
Strongly Agree	21	6.31%	20	4.94%	25	7.02%	14	3.99%	12	3.77%	20	4.77%
Agree	92	27.63%	108	26.67%	97	27.25%	109	31.05%	83	26.10%	137	32.70%
Neutral	77	23.12%	94	23.21%	66	18.54%	79	22.51%	77	24.21%	94	22.43%
Disagree	90	27.03%	116	28.64%	110	30.90%	96	27.35%	85	26.73%	107	25.54%
Strongly Disagree	53	15.92%	67	16.54%	58	16.29%	53	15.10%	61	19.18%	61	14.56%
Total	333	100%	405	100%	356	100%	351	100%	318	100%	419	100%
			U	niversity de	ecision 1	naking is t	ranspa	rent.				
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	018
Strongly Agree											8	1.81%
Agree											47	10.61%

Neutral											122	27.54%
Disagree											176	39.73%
Strongly Disagree											90	20.32%
Total											443	100%
Total		Δcader	nic adm	inistrators	resnon	d evneditio	nicly to	faculty co	ncerns		773	10070
		2013		2014		015		016		017	2	018
Strongly Agree	11	3.29%	15	3.65%	14	3.89%	15	4.18%	10	3.03%	12	2.86%
Agree	75	22.46%	102	24.82%	71	19.72%	79	22.01%	58	17.58%	89	21.19%
Neutral	83	24.85%	94	22.87%	95	26.39%	83	23.12%	97	29.39%	123	29.29%
Disagree	91	27.25%	120	29.20%	105	29.17%	114	31.75%	90	27.27%	126	30.00%
Strongly Disagree	74	22.16%	80	19.46%	75	20.83%	68	18.94%	75	22.73%	70	16.67%
Total	334	100%	411	100%	360	100%	359	100%	330	100%	420	100%
A	cademi	ic administr	ators re	espond expo	editious	ly to the no	eed for	action in i	nstituti	ional matte	ers.	
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	018
Strongly Agree	16	4.95%	14	3.60%	7	1.98%	12	3.40%	9	2.84%	10	2.40%
Agree	78	24.15%	102	26.22%	67	18.98%	87	24.65%	46	14.51%	73	17.51%
Neutral	90	27.86%	111	28.53%	120	33.99%	91	25.78%	99	31.23%	146	35.01%
Disagree	75	23.22%	95	24.42%	98	27.76%	98	27.76%	88	27.76%	118	28.30%
Strongly Disagree	64	19.81%	67	17.22%	61	17.28%	65	18.41%	75	23.66%	70	16.79%
Total	323	100%	389	100%	353	100%	353	100%	317	100%	417	100%
The f	faculty	has a stron	g influe	nce on the s	selection	n and in th	e evalu	ation of ac	ademi	c administı	rators.	
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	018
Strongly Agree	7	2.16%	7	1.75%	6	1.69%						
Agree	50	15.43%	66	16.54%	41	11.58%						
Neutral	89	27.47%	93	23.31%	75	21.19%						
Disagree	83	25.62%	126	31.58%	126	35.59%						
Strongly Disagree	95	29.32%	107	26.82%	106	29.94%						

- T	22.4	1000/	200	1000/	254	1.000/						·
Total	324	100%	399	100%	354	100%						
	T	he faculty l					n of ac	ademic adı				
	2	013	2	014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	018
Strongly Agree							8	2.33%	6	1.82%	5	1.18%
Agree							40	11.63%	23	6.99%	41	9.72%
Neutral							78	22.67%	43	13.07%	93	22.04%
Disagree							109	31.69%	104	31.61%	147	34.83%
Strongly							109	31.69%	153	46.50%	136	32.23%
Disagree							109	31.09%	133	40.30%	130	32.23%
Total							344	100%	329	100%	422	100%
	Tł	ne faculty h	as a str	ong influen	ce in th	e evaluatio	n of ac	cademic ad	minist	rators.		
							2	016	2	017	2	018
Strongly Agree							9	2.60%	6	1.87%	7	1.67%
Agree							51	14.74%	39	12.15%	68	16.27%
Neutral							78	22.54%	68	21.18%	104	24.88%
Disagree							113	32.66%	102	31.78%	134	32.06%
Strongly							95	27.46%	106	33.02%	105	25.12%
Disagree							93	27.40%	100	33.02%	103	23.12%
Total							346	100%	321	100%	418	100%
			I ha	ive a role ir	ı the go	vernance (of my c	ollege.				
	2	013	2	014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	.018
Strongly Agree	18	5.49%	20	5.06%	22	6.16%	23	6.71%	14	4.29%	18	4.30%
Agree	97	29.57%	125	31.65%	102	28.57%	85	24.78%	69	21.17%	119	28.40%
Neutral	77	23.48%	96	24.30%	87	24.37%	87	25.36%	87	26.69%	107	25.54%
Disagree	68	20.73%	82	20.76%	78	21.85%	91	26.53%	74	22.70%	98	23.39%
Strongly	68	20.73%	72	18.23%	68	19.05%	57	16.62%	82	25.15%	77	18.38%
Disagree	08	20.73%	12	18.23%	08	19.03%	31	10.02%	82	23.13%	11	18.38%
Total	328	100%	395	100%	357	100%	343	100%	326	100%	419	100%
		I trust the	leadersh	nip team in	my coll	ege (Dean,	Associ	iate/Assista	ant Dea	ans).		
	2	013	2	014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	018
Strongly Agree	90	25.71%	99	22.86%	87	22.60%	76	19.59%	49	14.12%	72	16.14%

Agree	127	36.29%	174	40.18%	150	38.96%	155	39.95%	126	36.31%	150	33.63%
Neutral	59	16.86%	76	17.55%	74	19.22%	75	19.33%	62	17.87%	87	19.51%
Disagree	33	9.43%	39	9.01%	35	9.09%	42	10.82%	37	10.66%	59	13.23%
Strongly Disagree	41	11.71%	45	10.39%	39	10.13%	40	10.31%	73	21.04%	78	17.49%
Total	350	100%	433	100%	385	100%	388	100%	347	100%	446	100%
			I	trust the le	eadersh	ip in my do	epartm	ent.				
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	018
Strongly Agree	102	28.81%	117	26.41%	117	30.47%	118	30.10%	87	24.72%	120	26.43%
Agree	117	33.05%	154	34.76%	120	31.25%	139	35.46%	123	34.94%	151	33.26%
Neutral	55	15.54%	71	16.03%	60	15.63%	49	12.50%	47	13.35%	68	14.98%
Disagree	30	8.47%	42	9.48%	33	8.59%	36	9.18%	34	9.66%	54	11.89%
Strongly Disagree	50	14.12%	59	13.32%	54	14.06%	50	12.76%	61	17.33%	61	13.44%
Total	354	100%	443	100%	384	100%	392	100%	352	100%	454	100%
		Ove	erall, the	e governanc	e syster	m of my de	partm	ent is effec	tive.			
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	018
Strongly Agree	75	21.37%	66	15.03%	75	19.69%	80	20.62%	60	17.24%	77	17.19%
Agree	114	32.48%	177	40.32%	136	35.70%	140	36.08%	109	31.32%	157	35.04%
Neutral	74	21.08%	85	19.36%	82	21.52%	78	20.10%	72	20.69%	78	17.41%
Disagree	39	11.11%	53	12.07%	39	10.24%	49	12.63%	46	13.22%	75	16.74%
Strongly Disagree	49	13.96%	58	13.21%	49	12.86%	41	10.57%	61	17.53%	61	13.62%
Total	351	100%	439	100%	381	100%	388	100%	348	100%	448	100%
The statements	below a	are about th	ne Presio	dent's perfo	rmance	e. Please ra	te you	r level of a	greeme	ent with ea	ch state	ment.
					Gary R	ansdell					Timoth	y Caboni
	Articu	ılates a clea	r vision	for the fut	ure of t	he universi	ity base	ed on its m	ission a	and values	•	
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	018
Strongly Agree	63	18.58%	83	19.76%	59	15.69%	59	15.78%	39	11.82%	39	9.58%
Agree	174	51.33%	193	45.95%	170	45.21%	171	45.72%	138	41.82%	192	47.17%
Neutral	54	15.93%	77	18.33%	74	19.68%	61	16.31%	63	19.09%	108	26.54%

Disagree	27	7.96%	45	10.71%	46	12.23%	49	13.10%	47	14.24%	40	9.83%
Strongly Disagree	21	6.19%	22	5.24%	27	7.18%	34	9.09%	43	13.03%	28	6.88%
Total	339	100%	420	100%	376	100%	374	100%	330	100%	407	100%
				Honors cor	nmitme	ents and ag	reemei	nts.				
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	2018
Strongly Agree	39	13.04%	49	13.42%	38	11.66%	38	10.95%	22	7.26%	29	10.66%
Agree	125	41.81%	149	40.82%	121	37.12%	120	34.58%	86	28.38%	113	41.54%
Neutral	77	25.75%	86	23.56%	90	27.61%	81	23.34%	87	28.71%	89	32.72%
Disagree	36	12.04%	44	12.05%	48	14.72%	63	18.16%	62	20.46%	23	8.46%
Strongly Disagree	22	7.36%	37	10.14%	29	8.90%	45	12.97%	46	15.18%	18	6.62%
Total	299	100%	365	100%	326	100%	347	100%	303	100%	272	100%
Conve	ys accu	rate inform	ation al	out curren	t issues	that are in	nporta	nt to the fa	culty i	n a timely	manne	r.
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	2018
Strongly Agree	41	12.42%	65	15.93%	43	11.53%	54	14.52%	34	10.27%	47	11.41%
Agree	149	45.15%	186	45.59%	159	42.63%	161	43.28%	119	35.95%	217	52.67%
Neutral	70	21.21%	79	19.36%	96	25.74%	78	20.97%	81	24.47%	89	21.60%
Disagree	43	13.03%	46	11.27%	49	13.14%	47	12.63%	53	16.01%	35	8.50%
Strongly Disagree	27	8.18%	32	7.84%	26	6.97%	32	8.60%	44	13.29%	24	5.83%
Total	330	100%	408	100%	373	100%	372	100%	331	100%	412	100%
			willing	to reevalua	te and,	if necessar	y, retr	act decisio				
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	2018
Strongly Agree	25	8.83%	36	10.40%	27	8.79%	33	9.94%	19	6.35%	18	8.14%
Agree	70	24.73%	93	26.88%	86	28.01%	73	21.99%	61	20.40%	81	36.65%
Neutral	90	31.80%	95	27.46%	90	29.32%	86	25.90%	82	27.42%	85	38.46%
Disagree	64	22.61%	74	21.39%	59	19.22%	78	23.49%	71	23.75%	21	9.50%
Strongly Disagree	34	12.01%	78	22.54%	45	14.66%	62	18.67%	66	22.07%	16	7.24%
Total	283	100%	346	100%	307	100%	332	100%	299	100%	221	100%

		See	ks inpu	t from facu	lty mos	t directly a	ffected	l by decisio	ons.			
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	018
Strongly Agree	22	7.51%	23	6.50%	21	6.69%	24	7.52%	13	4.48%	32	10.46%
Agree	60	20.48%	89	25.14%	60	19.11%	49	15.36%	36	12.41%	88	28.76%
Neutral	79	26.96%	83	23.45%	81	25.80%	85	26.65%	68	23.45%	75	24.51%
Disagree	79	26.96%	79	22.32%	96	30.57%	88	27.59%	86	29.66%	62	20.26%
Strongly Disagree	53	18.09%	80	22.60%	56	17.83%	73	22.88%	87	30.00%	49	16.01%
Total	293	100%	354	100%	314	100%	319	100%	290	100%	306	100%
	Provi	des units w	ith the r	esources ne	ecessary	to success	sfully e	xecute plai	ns and	initiatives		
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	018
Strongly Agree	22	7.36%	25	6.78%	20	6.13%	22	6.57%	12	4.05%	17	5.99%
Agree	80	26.76%	92	24.93%	73	22.39%	57	17.01%	41	13.85%	45	15.85%
Neutral	86	28.76%	97	26.29%	86	26.38%	77	22.99%	82	27.70%	102	35.92%
Disagree	67	22.41%	93	25.20%	91	27.91%	103	30.75%	82	27.70%	68	23.94%
Strongly Disagree	44	14.72%	62	16.80%	56	17.18%	76	22.69%	79	26.69%	52	18.31%
Total	299	100%	369	100%	326	100%	335	100%	296	100%	284	100%
Eng	gages in	open dialo	gue wit	h the facult	y on im	portant cu	rrent i	ssues and i	is acces	sible to fa	culty.	
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	018
Strongly Agree	28	8.89%	34	9.12%	30	8.93%						
Agree	99	31.43%	111	29.76%	93	27.68%						
Neutral	84	26.67%	103	27.61%	90	26.79%						
Disagree	60	19.05%	65	17.43%	77	22.92%						
Strongly Disagree	44	13.97%	60	16.09%	46	13.69%						
Total	315	100%	373	100%	336	100%						
		Engages	in open	dialogue v	vith the	faculty on	impor	tant curre	nt issue	es.		
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	018
Strongly Agree							30	8.47%	18	5.70%	39	10.57%
Agree							105	29.66%	70	22.15%	135	36.59%

						84	23.73%	89	28.16%	106	28.73%
						77	21.75%	66	20.89%	52	14.09%
						58	16.38%	73	23.10%	37	10.03%
						35/	100%	316	100%	360	100%
			Ico	ccossib	la ta facult		10070	310	10070	307	10070
2	0013	2				•	016	2	017	2	018
	.013		.014		.013						9.56%
											31.06%
										-	31.06%
						12	22.50%	55	18.60%	45	15.36%
						43	13.44%	54	18.95%	38	12.97%
						320	100%	285	100%	293	100%
Selects	competent	leaders	and remov	es incoi	mpetent lea	aders fi	rom admin	istrativ	ve position	ıS.	
2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	018
17	5.59%	17	4.55%	25	7.20%						
51	16.78%	58	15.51%	55	15.85%						
76	25.00%	92	24.60%	84	24.21%						
79	25.99%	109	29.14%	92	26.51%						
81	26.64%	98	26.20%	91	26.22%						
304	100%	374	100%	347	100%						
		Selects o	competent l	eaders	for admini	strativ	e positions				
2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	018
						24	6.72%	12	3.90%	21	8.86%
						83	23.25%	61	19.81%	55	23.21%
						104	29.13%	93	30.19%	109	45.99%
						70	19.61%	68	22.08%	19	8.02%
	Selects 17 51 76 79 81 304	2013 17 5.59% 51 16.78% 76 25.00% 79 25.99% 81 26.64% 304 100%	Selects competent leaders 2013 2 17 5.59% 17 51 16.78% 58 76 25.00% 92 79 25.99% 109 81 26.64% 98 304 100% 374 Selects of	Selects competent leaders and remov 2013 2014 17 5.59% 17 4.55% 51 16.78% 58 15.51% 76 25.00% 92 24.60% 79 25.99% 109 29.14% 81 26.64% 98 26.20% 304 100% 374 100% Selects competent I	Selects competent leaders and removes income 2013 2013 2014 2 17 5.59% 17 4.55% 25 51 16.78% 58 15.51% 55 76 25.00% 92 24.60% 84 79 25.99% 109 29.14% 92 81 26.64% 98 26.20% 91 304 100% 374 100% 347 Selects competent leaders	Selects competent leaders and removes incompetent leaders 2013 2014 2015 17 5.59% 17 4.55% 25 7.20% 51 16.78% 58 15.51% 55 15.85% 76 25.00% 92 24.60% 84 24.21% 79 25.99% 109 29.14% 92 26.51% 81 26.64% 98 26.20% 91 26.22% 304 100% 374 100% 347 100% Selects competent leaders for adminitary	T7 T8 T8 T8 T8 T8 T8 T8	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	100% 100%

Total							357	100%	308	100%	237	100%
		Rei	moves ir	ncompetent	leaders	s from adn	ninistra	tive positi	ons.			
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	2018
Strongly Agree							26	7.90%	6	2.06%	13	5.88%
Agree							99	30.09%	59	20.27%	25	11.31%
Neutral							86	26.14%	85	29.21%	93	42.08%
Disagree							56	17.02%	66	22.68%	35	15.84%
Strongly							62	18.84%	75	25.77%	55	24.89%
Disagree							02	10.04%	13	23.11%	33	24.09%
Total							329	100%	291	100%	221	100%
	Work	s to provid	e adequa	ate pay rais	es and	equitable (compen	sation pac	kages 1	for faculty	•	
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	2018
Strongly Agree	24	7.29%	20	4.93%	21	5.83%	19	5.26%	9	2.77%	18	5.88%
Agree	75	22.80%	64	15.76%	42	11.67%	34	9.42%	28	8.62%	72	23.53%
Neutral	56	17.02%	99	24.38%	79	21.94%	54	14.96%	54	16.62%	103	33.66%
Disagree	76	23.10%	94	23.15%	72	20.00%	77	21.33%	77	23.69%	54	17.65%
Strongly	98	29.79%	129	31.77%	146	40.56%	177	49.03%	157	48.31%	59	19.28%
Disagree		29.1970	129	31.7770	140	40.5070	1//	49.0370	137	40.3170	39	19.2070
Total	329	100%	406	100%	360	100%	361	100%	325	100%	306	100%
	I have	confidence	in the I	President's l	leaders	hip and en	dorse l	is continu	ance as	s President	t .	
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	2018
Strongly Agree	60	17.70%	60	14.32%	49	13.10%	39	10.48%	25	7.89%	49	12.53%
Agree	125	36.87%	153	36.52%	129	34.49%	118	31.72%	67	21.14%	162	41.43%
Neutral	92	27.14%	116	27.68%	114	30.48%	117	31.45%	107	33.75%	143	36.57%
Disagree	34	10.03%	49	11.69%	40	10.70%	45	12.10%	48	15.14%	18	4.60%
Strongly Disagree	28	8.26%	41	9.79%	42	11.23%	53	14.25%	70	22.08%	19	4.86%
Total	339	100%	419	100%	374	100%	372	100%	317	100%	391	100%
The statements	below a	are about th	ne Provo	st's perfori	nance.	Please rate	e your l	evel of agr	eemen	t with eacl	ı staten	nent.
			Gordo	n Emslie					Da	vid Lee		
	Deve	elops and ir	nplemei	nts plans an	d polic	ies that re	flect the	e mission o	f the u	niversitv.		
		L	F-222202	F-33225 441	- F 00					··· == == • J •		

	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	2018
Strongly Agree	12	4.00%	18	4.81%	21	6.33%	49	20.76%	43	15.75%	48	13.01%
Agree	81	27.00%	107	28.61%	96	28.92%	114	48.31%	137	50.18%	178	48.24%
Neutral	86	28.67%	104	27.81%	100	30.12%	57	24.15%	71	26.01%	90	24.39%
Disagree	54	18.00%	67	17.91%	62	18.67%	9	3.81%	10	3.66%	30	8.13%
Strongly Disagree	67	22.33%	78	20.86%	53	15.96%	7	2.97%	12	4.40%	23	6.23%
Total	300	100%	374	100%	332	100%	236	100%	273	100%	369	100%
Conve	ys accu	rate inform	ation al	out curren	t issues	that are i	mporta	nt to the fa	culty i	n a timely	manne	r.
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	2018
Strongly Agree	15	4.92%	17	4.49%	17	5.12%	61	22.18%	48	16.61%	50	12.95%
Agree	67	21.97%	104	27.44%	68	20.48%	135	49.09%	128	44.29%	146	37.82%
Neutral	72	23.61%	93	24.54%	102	30.72%	53	19.27%	75	25.95%	113	29.27%
Disagree	75	24.59%	75	19.79%	81	24.40%	15	5.45%	25	8.65%	47	12.18%
Strongly Disagree	76	24.92%	90	23.75%	64	19.28%	11	4.00%	13	4.50%	30	7.77%
Total	305	100%	379	100%	332	100%	275	100%	289	100%	386	100%
		Is	willing	to reevalua	te and,	if necessar	ry, retr	act decisio	ns.			
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	2018
Strongly Agree	17	5.72%	17	4.99%	16	5.26%	53	24.77%	41	17.01%	47	14.69%
Agree	80	26.94%	67	19.65%	59	19.41%	76	35.51%	107	44.40%	114	35.63%
Neutral	74	24.92%	89	26.10%	80	26.32%	62	28.97%	62	25.73%	91	28.44%
Disagree	59	19.87%	71	20.82%	77	25.33%	10	4.67%	15	6.22%	38	11.88%
Strongly Disagree	67	22.56%	97	28.45%	72	23.68%	13	6.07%	16	6.64%	30	9.38%
Total	297	100%	341	100%	304	100%	214	100%	241	100%	320	100%
				Prioritize	s budge	et needs ef	fectivel	y.				
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	2018
Strongly Agree	11	4.15%	10	2.96%	17	5.72%	45	21.53%	31	12.97%	34	10.46%
Agree	50	18.87%	53	15.68%	33	11.11%	72	34.45%	80	33.47%	107	32.92%
Neutral	90	33.96%	118	34.91%	95	31.99%	66	31.58%	83	34.73%	102	31.38%

Disagree	54	20.38%	71	21.01%	85	28.62%	12	5.74%	28	11.72%	49	15.08%
Strongly	60	22.64%	86	25.44%	67	22.56%	14	6.70%	17	7.11%	33	10.15%
Disagree	00	22.0770	00	25.4470	07	22.3070	17	0.7070	17	7.1170	33	10.1570
Total	265	100%	338	100%	297	100%	209	100%	239	100%	325	100%
		See	eks inpu	t from facu	lty mos	t directly	affected	l by decisio	ons.			
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	018
Strongly Agree	13	4.44%	13	3.64%	15	4.79%	57	24.26%	43	17.00%	43	12.61%
Agree	69	23.55%	65	18.21%	51	16.29%	86	36.60%	103	40.71%	122	35.78%
Neutral	59	20.14%	76	21.29%	70	22.36%	59	25.11%	55	21.74%	79	23.17%
Disagree	62	21.16%	79	22.13%	85	27.16%	19	8.09%	33	13.04%	60	17.60%
Strongly Disagree	90	30.72%	124	34.73%	92	29.39%	14	5.96%	19	7.51%	37	10.85%
Total	293	100%	357	100%	313	100%	235	100%	253	100%	341	100%
	Provi	des units w	ith the r	esources ne	ecessary	to succes	sfully e	xecute plai	ns and	initiatives.		
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	018
Strongly Agree	11	3.96%	11	3.06%	14	4.47%	42	18.67%	31	11.97%	36	10.81%
Agree	54	19.42%	54	15.04%	46	14.70%	63	28.00%	77	29.73%	93	27.93%
Neutral	84	30.22%	103	28.69%	76	24.28%	77	34.22%	81	31.27%	90	27.03%
Disagree	52	18.71%	83	23.12%	102	32.59%	27	12.00%	44	16.99%	69	20.72%
Strongly Disagree	77	27.70%	108	30.08%	75	23.96%	16	7.11%	26	10.04%	45	13.51%
Total	278	100%	359	100%	313	100%	225	100%	259	100%	333	100%
		Engages	in oper	n dialogue w	vith the	faculty or	impor	tant curre	nt issue	es.		
	2	2013	2	2014	2	015	2	016	2	017	2	018
Strongly Agree	20	6.51%	21	5.85%	17	5.21%	66	25.58%	52	18.57%	49	13.42%
Agree	79	25.73%	72	20.06%	55	16.87%	110	42.64%	118	42.14%	142	38.90%
Neutral	71	23.13%	88	24.51%	75	23.01%	53	20.54%	66	23.57%	88	24.11%
Disagree	59	19.22%	83	23.12%	90	27.61%	17	6.59%	24	8.57%	53	14.52%
Strongly Disagree	78	25.41%	108	30.08%	89	27.30%	12	4.65%	20	7.14%	33	9.04%
Total	307	100%	359	100%	326	100%	258	100%	280	100%	365	100%

				Is a	accessib	le to facul	ty.					
	2013		2014		2015		2016		2017		2018	
Strongly Agree	15	5.43%	22	6.36%	17	5.52%	82	30.48%	71	25.91%	71	20.11%
Agree	57	20.65%	64	18.50%	48	15.58%	120	44.61%	129	47.08%	161	45.61%
Neutral	64	23.19%	82	23.70%	83	26.95%	50	18.59%	45	16.42%	74	20.96%
Disagree	53	19.20%	67	19.36%	69	22.40%	8	2.97%	16	5.84%	27	7.65%
Strongly Disagree	87	31.52%	111	32.08%	91	29.55%	9	3.35%	13	4.74%	20	5.67%
Total	276	100%	346	100%	308	100%	269	100%	274	100%	353	100%
Selects competent leaders and removes incompetent leaders from administrative positions.												
	2013		2014		2015		2016		2017		2018	
Strongly Agree	8	3.17%	11	3.47%	13	4.48%						
Agree	32	12.70%	40	12.62%	36	12.41%						
Neutral	86	34.13%	98	30.91%	90	31.03%						
Disagree	51	20.24%	72	22.71%	68	23.45%						
Strongly Disagree	75	29.76%	96	30.28%	83	28.62%						
Total	252	100%	317	100%	290	100%						
			Selects o	competent l	eaders	for admin	istrativ	e positions	•			
	2013 2014			2014	2	015	2016		2017		2018	
Strongly Agree							55	24.55%	35	14.00%	40	12.94%
Agree							77	34.38%	89	35.60%	96	31.07%
Neutral							64	28.57%	72	28.80%	104	33.66%
Disagree							10	4.46%	18	7.20%	31	10.03%
Strongly							18	8.04%	36	14.40%	38	12.30%
Disagree							10	0.0470	30	14.4070	36	12.3070
Total							224	100%	250	100%	309	100%
Removes incompetent leaders from administrative positions.												
	2013 2014			2014	2	015	2016		2017		2018	
Strongly Agree							29	17.16%	19	9.36%	20	7.52%
Agree							29	17.16%	34	16.75%	45	16.92%

Neutral							72	42.60%	75	36.95%	93	34.96%
Disagree							18	10.65%	30	14.78%	47	17.67%
Strongly							21	12.43%	45	22.17%	61	22.93%
Disagree												
Total							169	100%	203	100%	266	100%
Routinely consults the faculty on institutional matters and decisions.												
	2013		2014		2015		2016		2017		2	018
Strongly Agree	11	3.75%	14	3.85%	16	5.06%	46	19.83%	31	12.02%	43	12.72%
Agree	59	20.14%	58	15.93%	45	14.24%	78	33.62%	89	34.50%	107	31.66%
Neutral	71	24.23%	94	25.82%	73	23.10%	80	34.48%	83	32.17%	90	26.63%
Disagree	71	24.23%	79	21.70%	77	24.37%	14	6.03%	25	9.69%	58	17.16%
Strongly Disagree	81	27.65%	119	32.69%	105	33.23%	14	6.03%	30	11.63%	40	11.83%
Total	293	100%	364	100%	316	100%	232	100%	258	100%	338	100%
The statements below are about the Board of Regents' performance. Please rate your level of agreement with each												
statement												
				rd of Regen								
	2013		2014		2015		2016		2017		2018	
Strongly Agree	11	3.79%	17	4.82%	11	3.55%	15	4.67%	4	1.35%	12	3.30%
Agree	46	15.86%	58	16.43%	55	17.74%	54	16.82%	42	14.19%	34	9.34%
Neutral	69	23.79%	77	21.81%	74	23.87%	69	21.50%	53	17.91%	88	24.18%
Disagree	83	28.62%	99	28.05%	82	26.45%	87	27.10%	79	26.69%	93	25.55%
Strongly Disagree	81	27.93%	102	28.90%	88	28.39%	96	29.91%	118	39.86%	137	37.64%
Total	290	100%	353	100%	310	100%	321	100%	296	100%	364	100%
The Board respects and supports the faculty's role in institutional governance.												
	2013 2			014 2015		015	2016		2017		2018	
Strongly Agree	13	4.71%	18	5.39%	19	6.27%	15	5.03%	6	2.16%	11	3.16%
Agree	58	21.01%	69	20.66%	59	19.47%	50	16.78%	48	17.27%	42	12.07%
Neutral	84	30.43%	81	24.25%	90	29.70%	95	31.88%	44	15.83%	95	27.30%
Disagree	67	24.28%	79	23.65%	69	22.77%	58	19.46%	77	27.70%	84	24.14%
Disagree												

Strongly Disagree	54	19.57%	87	26.05%	66	21.78%	80	26.85%	103	37.05%	116	33.33%
Total	276	100%	334	100%	303	100%	298	100%	278	100%	348	100%
The Board has a genuine interest in seeking input from the faculty, staff, and student regents.												
	2013		2014		2015		2016		2017		2	018
Strongly Agree	13	4.80%	21	6.38%	21	7.02%	20	6.78%	6	2.06%	13	3.78%
Agree	50	18.45%	51	15.50%	51	17.06%	53	17.97%	46	15.81%	37	10.76%
Neutral	70	25.83%	80	24.32%	70	23.41%	68	23.05%	51	17.53%	91	26.45%
Disagree	70	25.83%	83	25.23%	79	26.42%	71	24.07%	79	27.15%	83	24.13%
Strongly Disagree	68	25.09%	94	28.57%	78	26.09%	83	28.14%	109	37.46%	120	34.88%
Total	271	100%	329	100%	299	100%	295	100%	291	100%	344	100%
The Board up	The Board upholds its responsibility to ensure that the institution's resources are dedicated to the institution's mission.											
	2013		2014		2015		2016		2017		2018	
Strongly Agree	11	4.07%	23	6.73%	18	5.96%	17	5.41%	6	2.11%	12	3.34%
Agree	66	24.44%	64	18.71%	59	19.54%	55	17.52%	53	18.66%	41	11.42%
Neutral	83	30.74%	103	30.12%	103	34.11%	88	28.03%	81	28.52%	102	28.41%
Disagree	56	20.74%	72	21.05%	60	19.87%	68	21.66%	53	18.66%	77	21.45%
Strongly Disagree	54	20.00%	80	23.39%	62	20.53%	86	27.39%	91	32.04%	127	35.38%
Total	270	100%	342	100%	302	100%	314	100%	284	100%	359	100%