Proposal to Amend WKU Faculty Handbook

Secti	ion(s) to be amended:	II.X		
Corr	esponding page number	er(s): 20		
Contact Name:		Julie Shadoan	Date Submitted:	March 29, 2024
Contact Email address:		julie.shadoan@wku.edu	Contact Phone number:	(270) 745-2539
1.	Type of Change: □ Editorial (non-substantive)			
	 □ Eutorial (non-substantive) □ Substantive 			
	Editorial revisions include updates to the organizational structure, web addresses and names/titles as well as other similar non-substantive changes. Substantive change is defined as addition, deletion or revision of policy or procedure.			
	\square Addition: Where possible, identify the section of the handbook to which addition is proposed:			
	\square Deletion: Identify the section of the handbook from which deletion is proposed:			
	☑ Revision: Identify the section of the handbook to which revision is proposed: II.X			

2. Proposals should be made in the form of text intended as an addition to or a replacement of, in whole or in part, some current section of the Faculty Handbook.

Current:

II.X. Faculty Evaluation - Each faculty member in the traditional, pedagogical, clinical and instructor tracks is evaluated by the department chair/director on the bases of effectiveness as a teacher; relationship with students and with colleagues; participation in departmental, college and University activities; research/creative activities (traditional track faculty only); University and public service; and continuing professional development according to the standards and guidelines established by each college department.

Proposed Revision:

II.X. Faculty Evaluation - Each faculty member in the traditional, pedagogical, clinical and instructor tracks is evaluated by the department chair/director on the bases of effectiveness as a teacher; relationship with students and with colleagues; participation in departmental, college and University activities; research/creative activities (traditional track faculty only); University and public service; and continuing professional development professionalism according to the standards and guidelines established by WKU, each college and department.

3. Rationale for revision:

WKU has developed a more consistent method of annual evaluation, this language more clearly aligns language with process.